



**LAW COMMISSION OF ONTARIO
COMMISSION DU DROIT DE L'ONTARIO**

UNIVERSITY OF WINDSOR

FACULTY OF LAW

NOVEMBER 20, 2019

Law Commission of Ontario

- LCO provides independent analysis and advice on complex legal policy issues.
- Mandate: advance law reform, promote access to justice, stimulate public debate.
- Located at Osgoode Hall Law School
- Recent/current projects:
 - Class Actions
 - Defamation in the Internet Age
 - Last Stages of Life
 - Indigenous Last Stages of Life
- www.lco-cdo.org

LCO Digital Rights Projects

- Current Projects

- AI and Algorithms in Criminal Justice System
- AI and Algorithms in Administrative and Civil Justice System
- Consumer Protection in Digital Marketplace with Centre for Law, Technology and Society, Faculty of Law, University of Ottawa

- Past Projects

- AI for Lawyers with Element AI and Osgoode Hall Law School
- Roundtable on Digital Rights and Digital Society with Mozilla Foundation
- AI, Access to Justice and Legal Aid
- Big Data in the Justice System with Legal Aid Ontario

Criminal/Civil Justice Algorithm Projects

- How are AI/algorithms used to support decision-making in criminal and civil justice systems?
 - Criminal: Risk assessments to assist bail decision-making
 - Civil: AI/Algorithms to assist welfare determination, entitlement to public benefits
- What is impact of technology on dispute resolution, human rights, due process and access to justice?
- What can we learn from other jurisdictions and sectors?

AI, Algorithms in Law and Justice

- How are AI, algorithms and automated decision-making being used in law and justice?
 - Legal information, legal advice and A2J digital services (“[Steps to Justice](#)” “[Clicklaw](#)”) “[Legal Line](#)”)
 - E-discovery, legal research, smart contracts, automated pleadings; AI-driven litigation strategy (“[ROSS Intelligence](#)” “[Willful](#)” “[Legal Zoom](#)” “[Wonder.Legal](#)” “[Clausehound](#)”)
 - Predictive analytics (“[Blue J Legal](#)” “[Lex Machina](#)”)
 - Decision-making in public agencies, courts, tribunals

(Source: Justice Lorne Sossin, CIAJ Annual Conference, October 16, 2019)

AI, Algorithms in Public Law Decision-Making

- AI/algorithms already used in many government/public law applications (esp. in US, UK)
- Notable civil/admin applications include:
 - [Child welfare, government benefits, fraud detection, public health and education](#)
 - [Immigration and visitor determinations](#)
 - [National security](#)
- Most extensively used in US and UK criminal justice systems:
 - Surveillance, including [facial recognition](#)
 - Investigations, including “[predictive policing](#)”
 - Bail and sentencing, including [pre-trial risk assessments](#)
 - Corrections, including [inmate classification](#) and parole

Case Study: Algorithms and Bail

- Most extensive use of algorithms in justice system is in US, especially bail.
- Pretrial risk assessments (RA):
 - RAs predict likelihood that someone will miss court date or commit crime (“recidivism”)
 - RAs apply list of risk factors against historic data to create “risk score” for accused
 - Scores used by judges to help assess whether accused should be released, conditions, detained
- Use of RAs has grown exponentially in US in support of evidence-based bail reform
- RAs were widely supported at outset, but many original supporters now object

(See generally, Logan Koepke and David Robinson, [*Danger Ahead: Risk Assessment and the Future of Bail Reform*](#))

Public Safety Assessment (PSA)

Standard Pretrial Risk Assessment Report

(Arnold Ventures, [Public Safety Assessment](#))

12A Standard PSA Report

Name: John Defendant **Arrest Date:** 06/15/17
PID: 123456 **PSA Completion Date:** 06/16/17

Current Charge(s): 14-113.9 FINANCIAL CARD THEFT F 1

PSA Score					
FAILURE TO APPEAR					
1	2	3	4	5	6
NEW CRIMINAL ACTIVITY					
1	2	3	4	5	6
NEW VIOLENT CRIMINAL ACTIVITY FLAG: NO					

Risk Factors:

1. Age at Current Arrest:	23 or Older
2. Current Violent Offense:	No
2a. Current Violent Offense and 20 Years Old or Younger:	No
3. Pending Charge at the Time of Offense:	No
4. Prior Misdemeanor Conviction:	Yes
5. Prior Felony Conviction:	Yes
5a. Prior Conviction:	Yes
6. Prior Violent Conviction:	0
7. Prior Failure to Appear in Past 2 Years:	1
8. Prior Failure to Appear Older than 2 Years:	Yes
9. Prior Sentence to Incarceration:	Yes

Presumptive Release Level

Based on the Release Conditions Matrix, the defendant's presumptive release level is **Release Level 2**.

© 2018 Laura and John Arnold Foundation. Your use of this work and the Public Safety Assessment™ (PSA) is subject to applicable Terms and Conditions, including compliance with the PSA Core Requirements, available at www.psapretrial.org/terms

Law Reform Issue #1: Disclosure

- High priority administration of justice and access to justice issue
- “Black box” criticism
- Law Reform/Access to Justice Issues:
 - How to ensure development or use of systems is disclosed at all?
 - More complex questions:
 - What is disclosed and when?
 - Disclosure of training data, software, source code, policy guidance?
 - Public vs. private systems?

Law Reform Issue #2: Historic Data and Bias

- All systems rely on data
- Bias in, bias out?
 - Criminal Justice: Training data reflects *generations* of discrimination
 - If data is inherently discriminatory, outcomes inevitably be discriminatory?
- In US:
 - Many advocates say RAs should *never* be used in bail
 - Others support algorithmic affirmative action or say RAs can be used effectively in different manner
- Law Reform Issues:
 - Not all data is discriminatory, but no data is neutral
 - Is discrimination issue insurmountable in criminal justice/other contexts?
 - Data science issues and best practices (model bias, statistical fairness, data quality, relevance, etc)

Law Reform Issue #3: Using Predictions

- How to ensure tools are understood and interpreted appropriately?
- Distinction between prediction/policy is crucial
- Law Reform Issues:
 - Automation bias
 - How to better understand scoring, group predictions vs individual decision-making, statistical results
 - Decision-making frameworks

Law Reform Issue #4: Due Process

- Use of AI/algorithms by courts and tribunals raises obvious due process/fairness issues:
 - Notice, hearings, impartial decision-maker, ability to challenge decisions, reasons, appeals and remedies
- Law Reform Issues:
 - How to ensure *AI systems* protect due process?
 - How to ensure *tribunals/courts* protect due process?
 - Impact of machine learning systems (esp. on “explainability”)?
 - Impact on self-represented?

Law Reform Issue #5: Regulation

- Many models of regulation, algorithmic accountability, AI audits:
 - Advisory, generic standards-based guidelines or tools
 - Ethical AI principles (Toronto Declaration), engineering standards (ISO, Partnership for AI), Corporate Social Responsibility Codes
- National or sub-national legal/regulatory rules guiding development of systems
 - Federal Treasury Board Directive, Algorithmic Accountability Act (US), Human Rights Codes, Privacy Legislation
- Context-specific rules, statutes, regulations, practice directions
- Litigation in individual cases
- Law Reform Issues:
 - Are existing statutory or common law rules sufficiently flexible to adapt to new technologies?
 - Is new legislative or regulatory direction needed?
 - What are elements of comprehensive regime?

Some Ideas to Think About

- AI and Algorithms: New frontier of A2J
- There is a current and urgent need to learn about the technology
 - Legal community must involve new stakeholders (technologists, digital rights)
 - Lawyers need to learn new skills (data science, “litigating AI”)
- Advocates should think both defensively and opportunistically
- Must work collaboratively

More Information

Nye Thomas

Executive Director, Law Commission of Ontario

athomas@lco-cdo.org

416-402-7267

General LCO Email Contact: lawcommission@lco-cdo.org

Sign up for [Digital Rights Project Updates](#)